	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/595,096	DILLER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Marjorie A. Moran	1631
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Marjorie A. Moran</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Tom Arno</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>15 March 2005</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Possible 103-type rejections		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: McMartin et al.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summer 	The examiner will provide a write record of the substance of the	me interview, since the interview
Mayries a Storon		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applic	ant/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed Mr. Arno that the after-final amendment of 2/22/05 would not be entered as limitaitons directed to selecting confomations of a ligand are a new issue requiring further search and consideration. The examiner stated, however, that the amendment would overcome the new matter rejection. Ina ddition, the exmaienr indicated that the proposed amendment probably would not be free of the prior art, and offered to send a reference discovered in a previous search for the applicant's consideration, in view of possible filling of an RCE and new amendment. Mr. Arno indicated that he would like to review the reference.